

Reduction of Crosstalk in WDM Networks With optional FEC Coding

Yvan Pointurier*, Maité Brandt-Pearce*,
Bo Xu†

{yvan, mb-p}@virginia.edu, xubo@uestc.edu.cn

*University of Virginia, USA

†University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

IASTED WOC, July 2006

Paper OCSN 509-011

Overview

- ▷ Introduction
- ▷ RWA with optional FEC coding
- ▷ Validation by simulation
- ▷ Conclusions

Introduction

- ▷ All-optical networks with no wavelength conversion
- ▷ Physical layer effects impair the QoS of lighpaths
 - typically we want to keep $BER \leq 10^{-9}$ at all times, for each lightpath
- ▷ Context: large networks where some paths are very long
 - some paths are so long no call can be established without breaking the QoS constraint
- ▷ Forward Error Correction coding trade-off
 - can improve BER and keep it below threshold
 - cost: bandwidth expansion


Metrics

- ▷ Average call blocking probability (BP): decrease
- ▷ Fairness: $0 \leq f(X) = E_S[X]^2 / E_S[X^2] \leq 1$
 - here, S is the set of all (source, destination) pairs, X is BP
 - meaning: all clients should have equal access to the network

Overview


- ▷ Introduction
- ▷ **RWA with optional FEC coding**
- ▷ Validation by simulation
- ▷ Conclusions

Algorithm flow



- ▷ Golay (23, 12) code, rate $\approx 1/2$: need 2 LPs per call
- ▷ RWA: alternate routing (k-SPF), random wavelenth assignment

BER estimator



- ▷ Fast, dynamic estimation of BER: $BER = 0.5 \operatorname{erfc}(Q/\sqrt{2})$

$$Q = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 + \sigma_1} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 + \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_n^2 + \sum_q \sigma_{nxp}^2 + \sum_p \sigma_{ixq}^2}}$$

- ▷ $\mu_0, \mu_1, \sigma_0, \sigma_1$: means, st.dev. for the received “0s” and “1s”

BER estimator

$$Q = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 + \sigma_1} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 + \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_n^2 + \sum_q \sigma_{nxp}^2 + \sum_p \sigma_{ixq}^2}}$$

- ▷ Further split σ_1^2 into ISI, ASE noise, node crosstalk crosstalk, interchannel variances
- ▷ Sum crosstalk terms over all interfering paths

Impact of crosstalk

▷ Impact of crosstalk accumulation on the maximum transmission distance (70-km spans), for a typical optical network

■ node crosstalk

Crosstalks	0, 1	2, 3	4	5, 6	7, 8	9	10
Spans	12	11	10	9	8	7	6


■ XPM

Crosstalks	0	1	2-8
Spans	12	9	7

Overview

- ▷ Introduction
- ▷ RWA with optional FEC coding
- ▷ **Validation by simulation**
- ▷ Conclusions

Topology and physical parameters




Downscaled NSF topology; the weights are the number of spans.


Spans	2-12	14+
Paths	164	18

Description	Value
Span length	70 km
Signal peak power	2 mW
Bit rate	10 Gbps
Nonlinear parameter	2.2 (W.m)^{-1}
Pulse shape	NRZ
Fabric xtalk	-40 dB
Adj. port xtalk	-30 dB
Non adj. port xtalk	-60 dB
Fiber type	SMF
Dispersion compensation	100% post-DC
Number of WL	8
Minimum Q factor	$Q_1 = 6$ $Q_2 = 3.6$


BP for 1-SPF




BP for 4-SPF



Fairness for 1-SPF



Fairness for 4-SPF



Conclusions

- ▷ Compared to situations where no coding at all is available, optional coding ...
 - helps reducing blocking probabilities in large networks
 - mitigates physical layer impairments
 - improves fairness among users